Package 'cccrm'

September 27, 2024

Title Concordance Correlation Coefficient for Repeated (and Non-Repeated) Measures

Version 3.0.2

Date 2024-9-27

Depends R $(>= 4.0)$

Imports nlme, dplyr, Deriv, tidyselect, progressr, furrr, nlmeU, parallelly, purrr, tidyr, lifecycle, future

Description Estimates the Concordance Correlation Coefficient to assess agreement. The scenarios considered are non-repeated measures, non-longitudinal repeated measures (replicates) and longitudinal repeated measures. It also includes the estimation of the one-way intraclass correlation coefficient also known as reliability index. The estimation approaches implemented are variance components and U-statistics approaches. Description of methods can be found in Fleiss (1986) [<doi:10.1002/9781118032923>](https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118032923) and Carrasco et al. (2013) [<doi:10.1016/j.cmpb.2012.09.002>](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2012.09.002).

License GPL $(>= 2)$

RoxygenNote 7.3.2

Encoding UTF-8

LazyData true

NeedsCompilation no

Author Josep Lluis Carrasco [aut, cre],

Gonzalo Peon Pena [aut]

Maintainer Josep Lluis Carrasco <jlcarrasco@ub.edu>

Repository CRAN

Date/Publication 2024-09-27 10:10:03 UTC

Contents

bdaw *Blood draw data*

Description

Plasma cortisol area under curve (AUC) was calculated from the trapezoidal rule over the 12-h period of the hourly blood draws. The subjects were required to repeat the process in five visits. The aim of the agreement study was to assess how well the plasma cortisol AUC from hourly measurements agreed with plasma cortisol AUC that was measured every two hours.

Usage

bdaw

Format

A data frame with the following columns:

SUBJ Subject identifier

VNUM Visit number

AUC Area under the curve

MET Device identifier

bfat *Body fat data*

Description

Percentage body fat was estimated from skinfold calipers and DEXA on a cohort of 90 adolescent girls. Skinfold caliper and DEXA measurements were taken at ages 12.5, 13 and 13.5. The objective was to determine the amount of agreement between the skinfold caliper and DEXA measurements of percentage body fat.

Usage

bfat

bpres 3

Format

A data frame with the following columns:

SUBJECT Subject identifier VISITNO Visit number BF Percentage body fat MET Device identifier

bpres *Blood pressure data*

Description

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was measured in a sample of 384 subjects using a handle mercury sphygmomanometer device and an automatic device. The blood pressure was simultaneously measured twice by each instrument, thus every subject had four measurements, two by each method.

Usage

bpres

Format

A data frame with the following columns:

ID Subject identifier

SIS Systolic blood pressure in mmHg

DIA Diastolic blood pressure in mmHg

METODE Device identifier

NM Identifier of replicates

ALTURA Height in cm

EDAD Age in years

FRECUENC Heart rate

INFOR_AR Have the subject been informed about he is hypertense?

PESO Weight in Kg

SEXO Gender. 1 for Male. 2 for Female

- TA Was the subject's blood pressure measured the last year? 1=Yes, 2=No, 9=Unknown
- TNSI_MED Does the subject receive treatment for hypertension? 1=Yes, 2=No, 3=Doubtful, 8=not applicable, 9=Insufficient data.

Description

Estimation of the concordance correlation coefficient for repeated measurements using the U-statistics approach. The function is also applicable for the non-repeated measurements scenario.

Usage

 $ccClst(dataset, ry, rmet, ritime = NULL, Dmat = NULL, delta = 1, cl = 0.95)$

Arguments

Value

A vector that includes the point estimate, confidence interval and standard error of the CCC. Additionally the Fisher's Z-transformation value and its standard error are also provided.

References

King, TS and Chinchilli, VM. (2001). A generalized concordance correlation coefficient for continuous and categorical data. Statistics in Medicine, 20, 2131:2147.

King, TS; Chinchilli, VM; Carrasco, JL. (2007). A repeated measures concordance correlation coefficient. Statistics in Medicine, 26, 3095:3113.

Carrasco, JL; Phillips, BR; Puig-Martinez, J; King, TS; Chinchilli, VM. (2013). Estimation of the concordance correlation coefficient for repeated measures using SAS and R. Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, 109, 293-304.

ccc_sim_data 5

Examples

```
# Non-longitudinal scenario
newdat=bpres[bpres$NM==1,]
estccc=cccUst(newdat,"DIA","METODE")
estccc
estccc=cccUst(bdaw,"AUC","MET","VNUM")
estccc
estccc=cccUst(bfat,"BF","MET","VISITNO",Dmat=diag(c(2,1,1)))
estccc
```
ccc_sim_data *Data simulation using fixed and random effects*

Description

The fixed effects and standard deviations of random effects can be set to specific values or, alternatively, obtained from an object of class lme.

Usage

```
ccc_sim_data(
 n = 30,nrep = 1,
 nsim = 1,
 model = NULL,b = NULL,g = NULL,mu = 0,
 sa = 1,
  sab = 0,
  sag = 0,
 bg = NULL,se = 1,
  future_seed = TRUE,
 workers = 15,
  extra.info = TRUE,
  ...
\mathcal{L}
```


Details

Random effects are simulated as normal distributions with mean 0 and the correspondign standard deviations. The simulated data is obtained as the addition of the simulated values and the fixed efffects. Parallel computation is used except if data is simulated from an object of class 'lme'. In this case. data is simulated using the [simulateY](#page-0-0) function from nlmeU package.

Value

A data frame with the simulated data.

See Also

[ccc_vc](#page-6-1)

Examples

```
# # Reliability data:
# 50 subjects, one method, one time, 2 replicates
# Overall mean: -0.25; Subjects standard deviation: 1.5, Random error standard deviation: 1
set.seed(101)
df <- ccc\_sim\_data(n=50, b = NULL, g = NULL, mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5, se = 1, nrep=2)# Method comparison data (non-longitudinal)
# 50 subjects, two methods, 2 replicates
# Overall mean: -0.25; Subjects standard deviation: 1.5, Random error standard deviation: 1
# Difference of means between methods 2 and 1: 1
# Three data sets simulated
```
set.seed(202)

 ccc_{c} vc ccc_{c} 7

```
df <- ccc\_sim\_data(n=50, nsim=3, b = c(0,1), mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5, se = 1, nrep=2)# Method comparison data (longitudinal)
# 50 subjects, two methods, 3 times, 1 replicate,
# Overall mean: -0.25; Subjects standard deviation: 1.5, Random error standard deviation: 1
# Difference of means between methods 2 and 1: 1
# Difference of means between times 3,2 and 1 respectively: 0.5 and 0.25.
# Subject-methods interaction standard deviation: 0.25
# Subject-times interaction standard deviation: 0.5
# Same difference of means at each time
set.seed(202)
df <- ccc_sim_data(n=50, b = c(0,1), g=c(0,0.25,0.5), mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5,
sab=0.25,sag=0.5,se = 1, nrep=2)
# Simulate data using the estimates of a linear mixed model
set.seed(2024)
df3 <- ccc_sim_data(n=50, b = c(0,1), g=c(0,0.25,0.5), mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5,
                    sab=0.25,sag=0.5,bg=c(0,0.5,0.75,0,1,1),se = 1, nrep=2)
mod3 <- lme_model(df3,"y","id","times","met",control.lme=nlme::lmeControl(opt = 'optim'))
ccc_sim_data(nsim=10,model=mod3)
```


Description

Estimation of the concordance correlation coefficient for either non-repeated, non-longitudinal, or longitudinal repeated measurements using the variance components from a linear mixed model. The appropriate intraclass correlation coefficient is used as estimator of the concordance correlation coefficient.

Usage

```
ccc_vc(
  dataset,
  ry,
  rind,
  rmet = NULL,rtime = NULL,
  vecD = NULL,covar = NULL,
  int = F,
  rho = 0,
  cl = 0.95,
  control.lme = list(),
```

```
transf = "F2",boot = FALSE,
 boot\_param = FALSE,boot_ci = "BCa",nboot = 300,
 parallel = FALSE,
 future_seed = TRUE,
 workers = 15,
 sd_est = TRUE,
 apVar = TRUE,...
\mathcal{L}
```


 ccc_ccc vc 0

Details

The concordance correlation coefficient is estimated using the appropriate intraclass correlation coefficient (see Carrasco and Jover, 2003; Carrasco et al., 2009; Carrasco et al, 2013).

The scenarios considered are: a) reliability assessment (several measurements taken with one method); b) methods comparison data with non-repeated measurements (only one measurement by subject and method); c) Methods comparison data with non-longitudinal repeated measurements, i.e. replicates (multiple measurements by subject and method); and d) Methods comparison data with longitudinal repeated measurements (multiple longitudinal measurements by subject and method).

The variance components estimates are obtained from a linear mixed model (LMM) estimated by restricted maximum likelihood. The function *lme* from package *nlme* (Pinheiro et al., 2021) is used to estimate the LMM.

The standard error of CCC and its confidence interval can be obtained: a) asymptotically, using Taylor's series expansion of 1st order (Ver Hoef, 2012); b) using balanced randomized cluster bootstrap approach (Davison and Hinkley, 1997; Field and Welsh, 2007); c) using parametric bootstrap (Davison and Hinkley, 1997).

When estimating asymptotically the standard error, the confidence intervals are built using the point estimate of the CCC/ICC, its standard error, and the appropriate quantile of the standard Normal distribution. However, the approximation to the asymptotic Normal distribution is improved if the CCC/ICC is transformed using the Fisher's Z-transformation (Fisher, 1925), or the Konishi-Gupta transformation (Konishi and Gupta, 1989). In case the number of replicates is equal to 2, both transformations give the same result.

Value

A ccc class object. Generic function summary show a summary of the results. The output is a list with the following components:

- ccc. CCC/ICC estimate
- model. nlme object with the fitted linear mixed model.
- vc. Variance components estimates.
- sigma. Variance components asymptotic covariance matrix.

References

Carrasco, JL; Jover, L. (2003). Estimating the generalized concordance correlation coefficient through variance components. Biometrics, 59, 849:858.

Carrasco, JL; King, TS; Chinchilli, VM. (2009). The concordance correlation coefficient for repeated measures estimated by variance components. Journal of Biopharmaceutical Statistics, 19, 90:105.

Davison A.C., Hinkley D.V. (1997). Bootstrap Methods and Their Application. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Field, C.A., Welsh, A.H. (2007). Bootstrapping Clustered Data. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Statistical Methodology). 69(3), 369-390.

Fisher, R. A. (1925) Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Edinburgh: Oliver

Konishi, S. and Gupta, A. K. (1989) Testing the equality of several intraclass correlation coefficients. J Statist. Planng Inf., 21, 93-105.

Pinheiro J, Bates D, DebRoy S, Sarkar D, R Core Team (2021). nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 3.1-152, <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nlme>.

Ver Hoef, J.M. (2012) Who Invented the Delta Method?, The American Statistician, 66:2, 124-127.

Examples

```
# Scenario 1. Reliability
newdat <- bpres |> dplyr::filter(METODE==1)
icc_rel<-ccc_vc(newdat,"DIA","ID")
icc_rel
summary(icc_rel)
```
Confidence interval using non-parametric bootstrap

```
icc_rel_bt<-ccc_vc(newdat,"DIA","ID",boot=TRUE,sd_est=FALSE,
nboot=500,parallel=TRUE)
icc_rel_bt
summary(icc_rel_bt)
```

```
# Scenario 2. Non-longitudinal methods comparison.
# Only 1 measure by subject and method.
# No subjects-method interaction included in the model.
```

```
newdat <- bpres |> dplyr::filter(NM==1)
ccc_mc<-ccc_vc(newdat,"DIA","ID","METODE")
ccc_mc
summary(ccc_mc)
```
Confidence interval using parametric bootstrap

```
ccc_mc_bt<-ccc_vc(newdat,"DIA","ID",boot=TRUE,boot_param=TRUE,
sd_est=FALSE,nboot=500,parallel=TRUE)
ccc_mc_bt
summary(ccc_mc_bt)
```
lme_model 11

```
# Scenario 3. Non-longitudinal methods comparison.
# Two measures by subject and method.
# No subject-method interaction included in the model.
ccc_mc_int=ccc_vc(bpres,"DIA","ID","METODE",int=TRUE,control.lme=nlme::lmeControl(opt = 'optim'))
ccc_mc_int
summary(ccc_mc_int)
# Scenario 4. Methods comparison in longitudinal repeated measures setting.
ccc_mc_lon<-ccc_vc(bdaw,"AUC","SUBJ","MET","VNUM")
ccc_mc_lon
summary(ccc_mc_lon)
# Scenario 5. Methods comparison in longitudinal repeated measures setting.
# More weight given to readings from first time.
ccc_mc_lonw<-ccc_vc(bfat,"BF","SUBJECT","MET","VISITNO",vecD=c(2,1,1))
ccc_mc_lonw
summary(ccc_mc_lonw)
```

```
lme_model Fits a Linear Mixed Effects Model
```
Description

Fits a Linear Mixed Effects Model

Usage

```
lme_model(
  dataset,
 ry,
 rind,
 rtime = NULL,
  rmet = NULL,vecD = NULL,covar = NULL,rho = 0,
  int = FALSE,
 cl = 0.95,control.lme = list(),
 apVar = TRUE,...
)
```
Arguments

Value

an object of class lme.

Examples

```
# Reliability ICC
set.seed(2024)
df \leq ccc_sim_data(b = NULL, g = NULL, mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5, se = 1)
mod1 <- lme_model(df,"y","id")
mod1
#Non-longitudinal Methods comparison data
set.seed(2024)
df2 <- ccc_sim_data(n=50,b = c(0,1), mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5, se = 1, nrep=2)
mod2 <- lme_model(df2,"y","id",rmet="met")
mod2
# Longitudinal Methods comparison data
set.seed(2024)
df3 <- ccc_sim_data(n=50, b = c(0,1), g=c(0,0.25,0.5), mu = -0.25, sa = 1.5,
                    sab=0.25,sag=0.5,bg=c(0,0.5,0.75,0,1,1),se = 1, nrep=2)
mod3 <- lme_model(df3,"y","id","times","met",control.lme=nlme::lmeControl(opt = 'optim'))
mod3
```


Description

Power and confidence interval range obtained by simulation

Usage

```
sim_power_ccc(
 n = 30,nrep = 2,
 nsim = 300,
  r\emptyset = \emptyset,
 alpha = 0.05,
 model = NULL,
 b = NULL,g = NULL,mu = 0,
 sa = 1,sab = 0,
  sag = 0,
 bg = NULL,se = 1,
  extra.info = TRUE,
 vecD = NULL,covar = NULL,int = FALSE,
  rho = 0,
 cl = 0.95,control.lme = list(),
  transf = "F2",future_seed = TRUE,
 workers = 15
)
```


Details

The power and the range of the confidence interval are computed using the approach suggested in Choudhary and Nagaraja (2018). Data sets are simulated by setting the fixed effects values and the standard deviation of the random effects. The CCC and its standard error are estimated in each data set, along with its 95% confidence interval and the Wald test [Ztest](#page-14-1).

Value

A data frame with the following components:

- n Number of subjects
- reps Number of replicates
- CCC. Median of the CCC estimates.
- • Power. Empirical power computed as proportion of times the null hypothesis is rejected using a type-I error rate of alpha.
- SEICC. Average of CCC standard errors.
- SEZ. Average of transformed CCC standard errors.
- Range IC95. Average of CCC confidence interval widths.

References

Choudhary, P.K. and Nagaraja, H.N. (2018). Measuring Agreement-Models, Methods, and Applications. John Wiley & Sons

Examples

```
# Power to test the CCC is above 0.8 with 35 subjects and 4 replicates.
# Two methods, three times. Simulated CCC=0.87.
sim\_pw < -sim_power_ccc(n = 35, nrep=4, nsim=500, r0=0.8, b = c(-0.5,0.5),
g=c(-0.25,0,0.25), mu = -0.25, sa = 4, sab=0.5, sag=1,
bg=c(-0.5,-0.25,0.25,-0.5,0.25,0.75),se = 1)
```
Ztest *Wald's test on the Concordance Correlation Coefficient*

Description

Estimation of the concordance correlation coefficient for either non-repeated, non-longitudinal, or longitudinal repeated measurements using the variance components from a linear mixed model. The appropriate intraclass correlation coefficient is used as estimator of the concordance correlation coefficient.

Usage

 $Ztest(cccfit, r0 = 0, tr = TRUE)$

Details

.

A one sided test to the null hypothesis value

$$
z = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \rho_0}{SE\left(\hat{\theta}\right)}
$$

 $\hat{\theta}$

 ρ_0

where

$$
SE\left(\hat{\theta}\right)
$$

its standard error. The p-value is computed as

stands for the CCC estimate and

 $P(X > z)$

where X follows a standard Normal distribution.

Value

A data frame with two columns: Z, the statistical test value; and the P-value associated.

Examples

```
# Testing the CCC is above 0.8
ccc_mc=ccc_vc(bpres,"DIA","ID","METODE")
ccc_mc
Ztest(ccc_mc,r0=0.8)
```
Index

∗ datasets bdaw, [2](#page-1-0) bfat, [2](#page-1-0) bpres, [3](#page-2-0) bdaw, [2](#page-1-0) bfat, [2](#page-1-0) bpres, [3](#page-2-0) ccc_sim_data, [5](#page-4-0) ccc_vc, *[6](#page-5-0)*, [7](#page-6-0) cccUst, [4](#page-3-0) furrr_options, *[6](#page-5-0)*, *[9](#page-8-0)* lme_model, [11](#page-10-0) sim_power_ccc, [13](#page-12-0) simulateY, *[6](#page-5-0)* Ztest, *[14](#page-13-0)*, [15](#page-14-0)